

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,514		02/05/2004	Henry A. Luten III	3691-591	9423	
23117	7590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER		
		RHYE, PC	ZIMMER, MARC S			
	901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Aution Communication	10/771,514	LUTEN, HENRY A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WINITCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-12 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>2.4,13 and 15</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			5
		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			*
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
		(4) == (6)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- 1		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		an Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
'	•	o in this National Stage	•
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		.d	
oce the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defined copies flot receive	u.	
		•	
	· :		
Attoonment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 2) Involve of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate latent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/01/04</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/771,514

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to for its awkward wording. In particular, the claim is constructed in such a fashion that it appears that Applicant is suggesting that trimethylchlorosilane is an embodiment of the fluoroalkylsilane. In the context of the broader disclosure, it is understood that the subject matter of claim 15 is intended to encompass those processes where a capping layer containing both of a fluoroalkylsilane and trimethylchlorosilane is laid down over the layer derived from methyltrichlorosilane and dimethyldichlorosilane. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 5-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Murphy et al., U.S. patent # 6,743,516.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in

Application/Control Number: 10/771,514

Art Unit: 1712

the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

See column 4, lines 44-48, Examples XVII through XX and claim 24.

Double Patenting

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claim 24 of U. S. Patent No. 6,743,516 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. The subject matter of claims 1 and 3 fully encompasses that of claim 24.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 4, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The reference does not appear to contemplate forming an interlayer between the silica layer and the layer derived from methyltrichlorosilane and dimethyldichlorosilane nor does the prior art motivate one to modify the processes/articles of manufacture taught by *Murphy* in this manner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 24, 2006

MARC S. ZIMMER PRIMARY EXAMINER